## Sharing Our Rural Roads

## Harnessing Citizen Input to Make Sharing a Reality
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## LRRS Areas of Interest

- Prospect Lake Road
- Goward Road
- Sparton Road
- South Old West Saanich Road
- Oldfield Road in Saanich
- Truck Routes are in Red

- This map of Rural Saanich shows five of the roads with unaddressed traffic concerns, especially for vulnerable users' Active Transportation needs.
- We focus on these roads only because we have authentic representation here. However, we want all vulnerable users to be able to engage in Active Transportation modes in comfort and safety.
- Please note that none of these five roads is a truck route. Truck routes are in red.


## Rural LAP Area


-Prospect Lake Road
-Goward Road

- Sparton Road
- South Old West Saanich Road
- Oldfield Road in Saanich

NOTE: our traffic problems originate outside the LAP area but are borne by all rural residents

## Comment

Our geographic situation is important:

- We are outside the UCB, in an area of high value to everyone for recreation, rural lifestyle, habitat protection, farming and self sufficiency, agro tourism, and tree canopy.
- Our neighbourhoods are sandwiched between areas of intense residential, commercial or industrial development on all sides (Western Communities, Royal Oak and south, Keating Business District and north).
- These roads are regularly used as cut throughs, commuter and truck routes, at speed, through linear neighbourhoods.


## As Built Roads, Valued by the LAP



Mar 2019, updated Aug 2021

- Roads pictured are virtually unchanged since they were built long ago. They are narrow, winding, with limited sight lines, rocky outcroppings and no separation from traffic for vulnerable users; (Oldfield, not shown on this slide, is dead straight but also with significant safety issues).
- Roads like these are now taking volumes, types and speeds of traffic for which they were never designed. This has Active Transportation impacts.


## Cooperation with Saanich



## Comment

- This Neighbourhood Gateway sign, suggested by Saanich's Troy McKay, designed by LRRS and installed by Saanich, was a welcome initiative some years ago.
- However, since then nothing further has been done to make the lengths of these roads safe for vulnerable users.
- The limitations of several Saanich initiatives need mentioning:

1. dealing with the WS/Sp/PLR intersection, while crucial and welcome, is a separate issue, will not solve our current realities, and may actually make them worse;
2. only partial solutions have been achieved on Prospect Lake Road, and many of those solutions still do not help vulnerable users;
3. the Speed Reduction Pilot Program, at 30 kph on unlined roads, will not benefit many lined roads that need speed reduction;
4. unless coupled with improvements for vulnerable user use, regular re-paving projects on rural roads often have the effect of making the traffic lanes slightly wider, smoother and faster.

## Speed Data - OWS, 2162 records, 2017

- Safe speed for all
$=40-45 \mathrm{kph}$
-95\% are faster than this
-Comment: Very credible speed data was collected on Old West Saanich indicating that the safe speed of 40 to 45 kph is largely exceeded, in many cases excessively. This data was collected during the weekdays and does not reflect frequent night and weekend speeding.



## Truck Use Data

## on OWS, 2017

List A indicates all of the recorded company names that were seen sufficiently often to suggest the List A indicates alf of the recorded company names that wete. seen sufficiently often to suggest the made for these transits being exclusively non-delivery. We can supply that context in a meeting
prase bear in mind that this list only represents less than 30\% of our observations and thus does not attually include almost 800 other truck transits, many regular, but all unidentified.

| 18\% JJunk | D Taylor Escaxatioa. | Monk Office |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auriey Men | Dan Fors man | Nordic Fencing |
| AAAA Rebar | Dovies Contracting | Parker Johnson Peninsuls Landss |
| Access Records | Dismond Deivery | Peninsuls Lhendsg |
| Alpine Group | Discount Towing | Portofino Bakery |
| AMJ Campbell | Don Mann | Ryder |
| Bartett Tree | Eagle Propane | Sasnich Peninsuls Mov- |
| Pekins | Fed Ex | ing/Kesting Selthtorase. |
|  | Flynn Canada Ltd | Slega, |
| Budget Rentals | Harbour City Kitchens | St Vincent de Psul |
| Capital Tree Service | Island Paving | Stokeckantines |
| Cintas Uniform | Junk Box | Superior Propane |
| Cosst Environmental | LeadecMercasotile L L $\mathrm{d}_{\mathbf{k}}$ | Swain Moving |
| Coho Concrete |  | Trio Readi Mx |
| Cold Star Solutions | Mackutt | UPS |
| Columbis Water | Mander | Westemenasement |
| SemoxPeaciticexpress | Mcheld | Williams Scrap Metal |
|  |  |  |

P and R Trucking: Although we only see their pick ups, they service large trucks, and a courtesy letter reminding them to tell their truck clientele that access to and from their business at the corner of Keating and Oldfield should be on the designated truck routes could be helpful and effective.

List B indicates hea wy usage by the following vehicle types, few of which are well-suited to the road width and alignment. This is very important in dem onstrating how a rural residential road is evolving into a commerciar and industrial truck route, in contravention of an existing Truck Bylaw.
dump trucks, empty/ful hat decks, low
beds, gravel slingers

- tractor units with or without heaw equipment on trailers
- concrete mixers and pumper truck
- large junk and disposal trucks
- fuel, septic and propane tankers
- school buses, motorhoones
- chip, roofing and towing truck
- arborist and landsca ping truck
- cube vans of many sizes and functions, including moving vans
- many mid size purpose built industrial trucks, incl. with long trailers
解
- Detailed data on truck use makes it clear that regular transient trucking is a significant issue
- This is not able to be effectively addressed by police, and is still not addressed by anyone else in decision making positions.


## PLR Resident Survey - 2008

- Residents have a long history of taking these problems to Saanich, and a long history of no substantial change resulting.


## Comment

- A slice of 2008 input from Prospect Lake residents, including a survey sent to Saanich. These issues have long been of concern.
- Finally, a fatality in 2019 appeared to trigger a Safety Review.


## Local vs

$\square$ PLR closed for paving, 2018

- Vehicles per hour:
- PLR open - 281
- PLR closed - 126
- Transient traffic was more than double the local traffic.



## Comment

- PLR data collected before and after a repaving road closure indicates that the traffic volume is largely non-local. Unfortunately even the PLR Traffic Safety Review chose not to decide if the traffic was primarily transient or not.


## Drive Times, 2017

-3 GIS-based drive time studies

- Origins and destinations are all outside Rural Saanich
-The studies compare drive times using the Truck Routes vs using our local roads
-Truck Routes
are quicker
-"Short cuts" are not quicker
-Comment: Drive time analyses show that time savings by using our residential roads are nil or negligible.


| ID | Name | Route | Dist | Speed ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Till | Via | Truck | Diff | Lengthl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257235 | Quadra - Brentwood | Optimum | 14.18 | 66.56 | - | PBH | Yes | -2 | 14,184.7 |
| 257241 | Quadra - Brentwood | Forced | 13.69 | $60 \%$ | 13 | WSR | Yes | -1 | 13,693.6 |
| 257238 | Quadra - Brentwood | Forced | 13.24 | 55.32 | 14 | OWR |  | 0 | 13,240.8 |
| 257214 | Quadra | Optimum | 10.94 | 11.35 | 9 | PBH | Yes | -5 | 10,939.1 |
| 257232 | Quadra | Forced | $1 / .32$ | 54.45 | 12 | OWR |  | -2 | 11,334.1 |
| 257217 | Quadra | Forced | 13.87 | 59.30 | 14 | WSR | Yes | 0 | 13,870.7 |
| 239252 | Markham | Optimum | 10.46 | 69.29 | 9 | PBH | Yes | -2 | 10,462.5 |
| 257194 | Markham | Forced | 8.05 | 50.70 | 9 | OWR |  | -2 | 8,062.8 |
| 257198 | Markham | Forced | 10.61 | 57.34 | 11 | WSR | Yes | 0 | 10,607.6 |
| 257201 | Langford | Optimum | 22.63 | 76.34 | 17 | PBH | Yes | -4 | 22,631.0 |
| 257204 | Langford | Forced | 19.61 | 58.50 | 20 | OWR |  | -1 | 19,614.3 |
| 257211 | Langford | Forced | 20.07 | 58.35 | 20 | Sparton |  | -1 | 20,070.8 |
| 257207 | Langford | Forced | 21.26 | 60.62 | 21 | WSR | Yes | 0 | 21,262.9 |
| 257244 | Colwood | Optimum | 19.30 | 73.75 | 15 | PBH | Yes | -3 | 19,298.8 |
| 257247 | Colwood | Forced | 16.30 | 54.18 | 18 | OWR |  | 0 | 16,298.7 |
| 257250 | Colwood | Forced | 16.76 | 54.14 | 18 | Sparton |  | 0 | 16,755.2 |

## Pavement Width, 2017

-To be safe, a road's designated function must conform to the as-built parameters
-The Collector road designation is inappropriate because pavement widths average over 5 m below Spec. ( 11 m ) with few shoulders
-This contradiction is the basis for the many rural road safety issues. The 4 LRRS roads called Collector cannot safely be used as such, at 50 kph .
-Comment: Pavement width data: shows that there is a contradiction, sited in the LAP, in how these roads are designated and used.

| Road | Count of Actual Pavement Width | Average Paveme | Average of S \& B | Required Pavement Width | Width Conformity | Road Class. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goward | 3 | 28.08 | 0.47 | 5.00 | 0.08 | Residential |
| Old West Saanich | 6 | - 5.92 | 1.20 | 11.00 | -5.08 | Collector |
| Oldfield | 3 | $5 \% 5.96$ | 1.36 | 11.00 | -5.04 | Collector |
| Prospect Lake | 5 | 5.78 | 1.62 | 11.00 | -5.22 | Collector |
| Sparton |  | 4.80 |  | 11.00 | -6.20 | Collector |
| Grand Total | 18 | 5.68 | 1.22 |  | -4.29 |  |

## Personal Anecdotes

- On Prospect Lake Road an equestrian business owner, while walking a pony carrying an 8 year old, is harassed off the road by a pick up driver.
- Cyclists are twice blasted by air horns from behind while on Old West Saanich.
- A resident gives up having a dog because he cannot walk it safely on Sparton Rd.
- An impatient motor cyclist tailgates a resident trying to turn into his driveway, and then pulls out and passes at speed on a blind hill and curve.
- On Prospect Lake Road a vehicle pulls out fully into the oncoming lane to pass not one but two vehicles on a blind corner and hill.
- Unsafe driver behaviour is abundant.
- Comment: These anecdotes were collected up to March 2019 and continue to be collected and shared by residents, especially when they are using the roads for Active Transportation. See the incident reporting form at the Irrs website (Irrs.org)


## Rural Features



Mar 2019, updated Aug 2021

## Comment

Lived experience by residents and other users needs to be recognized:

- the unique features of these rural roads: 'volume and flow' should not be prioritized, rather, strategies must incorporate livability: safety and comfort for all;
- the fact that these rural roads pass through what are almost totally residential neighbourhoods, as has always been the case;
- the need for a safe speed for all, instead of reliance on a default speed, which favors only vehicle operators.


## Value Statements from the LAP

ㅁ "Safety, minimizing traffic and other impacts, and respecting the rights of its residents, including the farmers, are important considerations.

- Vision 2026: Rural Saanich is a peaceful community with rural acreages and small-scale farms, safe roads and trails, and a natural and pastoral landscape.
- Sense of Community: Human interaction is the foundation for building a sense of community......Safe country roads and trails are part of the vision for Rural Saanich."
- Comment: In the context of Active Transportation at least, this vision is not being met. Page 67 of the LAP also recognizes that many roads do not meet the collector road standards.


## Truck Traffic

- How safe is this situation for other users?



## Comment

- Saanich has a truck bylaw but it is not able to be effectively enforced. The size, noise and speed of many of these vehicles are a significant and serious issue for Active Transportation use.
- Issues: Should a weight restriction be the only metric for these narrow, winding roads? What constitutes local delivery? How can we combat GPS which directs trucks up these roads?
- What is Saanich's role in engaging Central Saanich whose industrial district lies just outside our rural boundary?


## Respecting Rural Values



## Comment

Expectations:

- We have a vision for these roads that accommodates appropriate traffic, enables safe Active Transportation, while protecting and enhancing rural values.
- We are confident that remedies do exist, but we first need recognition of the gaps in the ATP and the scope of the traffic problems that exist.
- In general up to now we have met a lot of resistance in getting recognition of rural traffic impacts on vulnerable users and on livability in general.
- The benefits of Active Transportation are of huge potential in the rural areas. We are looking to the Active Transportation Advisory Committee to recognize that this potential will not be met with the current ATP.
- For the ATP to truly apply to all of Saanich, there need to be changes.
- In Part 2 of our presentation we look more closely at what is in the ATP regarding the rural situation, and what is missing.


## Sharing Our Rural Roads - is not working for all users

- Roads must be safe for ALL users
- The Rural Areas contribute to a community sense of well being (LAP).
- Without traffic management, the Vision 2026 goals of the LAP are likely not attainable
- Citizen input can enhance Municipal problem solving.



## Thank You!

## $A$ -

## Comment

- We ask that the values of the current LAP be upheld.
- We ask that the Saanich Active Transportation Plan address rural needs.

Thank You

